Court of Appeals, Division One

Special Action

1 CA-SA 23-0122

VELAZQUEZ v. HON STEINER/STATE

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 21-Jun-2023

Case Closed:

Dept/Composition
Department C

Hon. D Steven Williams Hon. Samuel A Thumma Hon. Cynthia J Bailey

Side 1. JUAN VELAZQUEZ, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) VELAZQUEZ

Juan Velazquez

Attorney for: Petitioner

Christopher Stavris, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25096)

Side 2. THE HONORABLE RONEE KORBIN STEINER, Judge of the SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of MARICOPA,

Respondent Judge,

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Real Party in Interest., Respondent

(Litigant Group) HON STEINER

• Hon. Ronee Korbin Steiner, Respondent Judge

(Litigant Group) STATE

• State of Arizona, Real Party in Interest

Attorney for: Real Party in Interest

Erin Bennett, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 27078)

CASE STATUS

Jun 27, 2023....Pending in ASC

PREDECE	SSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
MAR	CR2001-014970-A			Ronee Korbin Steiner,		
				Authoring Judge of Order		

DESCENDENT CASE(S)

ASC CR-23-0163-PR

CASE DECISION

23-Jun-2023 ORDER

ORDERED: Petition for Special Action With Requests For Stay And Oral Argument (Petitioner) = JURISDICTION DECLINED and denying the stay as moot. FURTHER ORDERED vacating the Court's previous order in its entirety.

Filed: 23-Jun-2023 Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Jurisdiction Declined

Samuel Thumma.....Author

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES					
1.	21-Jun-2023	FILED: Appendix (Petitioner)			
2.	21-Jun-2023	FILED: Petition For Special Action With Requests For Stay And Oral Argument; Certificate Of Compliance, "Petition For Special Action With Requests For Stay And Oral Argument"; Petition for Special Action Party Contact Information (Petitioner)			
3.	21-Jun-2023	FILED: Order Appointing Public Defense Office to Represent Defendant (Petitioner)			
4.	21-Jun-2023	FILED: Order Setting Dates/petition set for am of 7/12/23 by Dept C, Judges Williams, Thumma, McMurdie			
5.	22-Jun-2023	FILED: Memorandum: Judge Bailey for Judge McMurdie (Chief Judge Cattani)			

Court of Appeals, Division One

Special Action

1 CA-SA 23-0122

VELAZQUEZ v. HON STEINER/STATE

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 6. 23-Jun-2023 ORDERED: Petition for Special Action With Requests For Stay And Oral Argument (Petitioner) = JURISDICTION DECLINED and denying the stay as moot. FURTHER ORDERED vacating the Court's previous order in its entirety. Hon Samuel A Thumma Author
- 7. 27-Jun-2023 FILED: Email Notice from ASC re: Petition for Review filed 06/26/2023; request for partial record
- 8. 27-Jun-2023 FILED: Letter forwarding partial record to Arizona Supreme Court
- 7-Mar-2024 FILED: ASC Order filed 03/07/2024 re: consolidating case number CR-23-0163-PR and CR-23-0170-PC, designating CR-23-0170-PC as the primary case number. FURTHER ORDERED: That the stay and all other orders in the Court's 01/19/2024 order in case number CR-23-0170-PC are incorporated in the consolidated matter. FURTHER ORDERED: Amending the caption as referenced in this order. Any further filings in this matter shall reflect the consolidated caption.
- 10. 26-Jul-2024 FILED: ASC Order filed 07/26/2024 re: lifting the stay entered on 01/19/2024. FURTHER ORDERED: That Petitioner's request for clarification is granted. Petitioner's amended petition for review as ordered in the Court's January 19, 2024 order and herein will replace Petitioner's July 27, 2023 petition for review and shall comply with the requirements of Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.16(c), (d), and (e) that outline the requirements for the contents, appendix, form and length, and service of a petition for review. FURTHER ORDERED: That the Petitioner's request to exceed the word limit in the Court's 01/19/2024 order is granted. FURTHER ORDERED: That that Petitioner's amended petition for review shall not exceed 30,000 words and shall otherwise comply with Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.16(a), (c)(1), (c)(2), (d), and (e). The State's response to the amended petition for review shall not exceed 30,000 words and shall otherwise comply with Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.16(f)(1) and (f)(2). Any reply shall not exceed 12,500 words and shall otherwise comply with Ariz. R. Crim. P. 32.16(f)(3). Counsel are advised that it is unlikely that the Court will grant any additional expansion of the word limit, and counsel are to act with diligence and editorial vigor to comply with the currently ordered word limits. FURTHER ORDERED: That Petitioner's request for extension of time to file an amended petition for review is granted. FURTHER ORDERED: That that Petitioner's amended petition for review shall be filed on or before 01/31/2025. Counsel is advised that it is unlikely that the Court will grant any additional extension, and counsel is to act with diligence and alacrity to comply with the currently ordered due date. FURTHER ORDERED: That Respondent State's response shall be filed on or before

08/01/2025. Any further extension of time shall be granted only upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances.